

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,698	12/05/2003	Virginia Tarpinian	2595U.001	4597
21917 MCHALE & S	7590 01/03/2007 I AVIN P A	EXAMINER		
2855 PGA BLV	/D	WILLIAMS, MARK A		
PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/729,698	TARPINIAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark A. Williams	3676	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)⊠ 2a)⊟ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26.5</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat :	Claim(s) 12,14-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12, 14-16, and 18-21 is/are rejected claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/aion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and are subjected to by the Examin acceptance.	ewn from consideration. I. or election requirement. er.	by the Examiner	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureace the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been approximately (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application _	

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12, 14-16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch, III, US Patent 5,299,720, in view of Kobdish, US Patent 6,186,383.

See the embodiment of figures 8-10 of Koch. Koch provides what is considered a safety handle capable of being used for temporary attachment to a pier piling for use by passengers during boarding and exiting a boat, said safety handle comprising a base member 4 constructed of a relatively flexible sheet of material, said base member further defined as having a front surface 8 and a back surface bounded by a top edge and a bottom edge with a first and second side edge, a handgrip 3 secured to said back surface, said handgrip formed with a receptacle 5a, said receptacle sized so as to be capable of receiving a light stick, a pair of

Art Unit: 3676

straps 9 connected to said base member, one said strap adapted to extend around said piling, as claimed.

Koch provides the claimed invention except (1) said front surface adapted to contact an including integrally formed rigid protrusions designed to temporarily prohibit movement of said base member, as claimed; (2) said receptacle made of a material permitting light to shine through, wherein said base member and said hand grip are plastic, and said hand grip receptacle being translucent, as claimed; (3) said base member is wood; and (4) said strap is of a length sufficient to encircle said piling between 6 inches and 20 inches.

Regarding (1), Koch discloses the handle for engagement with a metal surface. Kobdish teaches rigid projections 26 for engagement with metal surfaces for improved clamping/attachment purposes. It would have been obvious to include rigid projections on the device of Koch for the purpose of improving attachment of the device, particularly to metal surfaces.

Regarding (2) and (3), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device in these ways, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. See also *Ballas*

Art Unit: 3676

Liquidating Co. v. Allied industries of Kansas, Inc. (DC Kans) 205 USPQ 331.

One purpose of such a modification might be aesthetic appeal.

Regarding (4), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device in this way, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

This action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Williams

12/26/06

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600